
CPR E 185 F, J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010


Item Analysis: Expectations

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
60	32	20	7	0	_	Frequency
100.00	53.33	33.33	11.67	0.00	1.67	Percent




Item Analysis: Concepts

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
60	27	22	8	2	_	Frequency
100.00	45.00	36.67	13.33	3.33	1.67	Percent



Item Analysis: Clarifies confusion

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
61	29	18	9	4	1	Frequency
100.00	47.54	29.51	14.75	6.56	1.64	Percent



Item Analysis: Effective teaching methods

Strongly Disagree

Label

Frequency

Disagree Neutral Agree

Strongly Agree Total Valid

30

Percent 1.64 1.64 6.56 40.98 49.18

	Percent	
St Response	crongly Disagree- Disagree- Neutral- Agree-	Q4
	Strongly Agree-	

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
60	49	00	_	_	1	Frequency
100.00	81.67	13.33	1.67	1.67	1.67	Percent

	Pe	rcent 5	
St	rongly Disagree-		
æ	Disagree-		۵
Response	Neutral-		6
Œ	Agree-		
	Strongly Agree-		
	\Box		

Item Analysis: Challenges thinking

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	_	1.67
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	4	6.67
Agree	17	28.33
Strongly Agree	38	63.33
Total Valid	60	100.00

Percent 100 50 Strongly Disagree Disagree Q7 Agree Strongly Agree


Item Analysis: Availability

Label	Frequency	Percen
Strongly Disagree	_	1.64
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	17	27.87
Agree	22	36.07
Strongly Agree	21	34.43
Total Valid	61	100.00

CPR E 185 F, J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010


Item Analysis: Encourages ?'s

100	0	ו טומו אמווט
100 00	81	Total Valid
47.54	29	Strongly Agree
34.43	21	Agree
14.75	9	Neutral
1.64		Disagree
1.64	1	Strongly Disagree
Percent	Frequency	Label




Item Analysis: Organized/Prepared

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
60	49	8	_	_	_	Frequency
100.00	81.67	13.33	1.67	1.67	1.67	Percent










CPR E 185 F, J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010


Item Analysis: Effective technology


Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
61	43	13	з		_	Frequency
100.00	70.49	21.31	4.92	1.64	1.64	Percent



Item Analysis: Improves learning

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
60	30	23	5	_	1	Frequency
100.00	50.00	38.33	8.33	1.67	1.67	Percent





211

Item Analysis: Attendance

Frequency

Percent

3.28 1.64 1.64

Strongly Disagree

Disagree Neutral

Strongly Agree

61

Agree

18.03

	Percent 10	
St	rongly Disagree-	
교	Disagree-	Q12
Response	Neutral-	2
Ф	Agree-	
	Strongly Agree-	

Response

Item Analysis: Challenged

Strongly Disagree

Disagree

1.64

Neutral Agree

0 9 14 37

14.75 22.95 60.66 100.00

Strongly Agree
Total Valid

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label	,
61	31	21	6	_	2	Frequency	
100.00	50.82	34.43	9.84	1.64	3.28	Percent	

Percent 100	Q15


Item Analysis: Increased interest

100.00	61	Total Valid
42.62	26	Strongly Agree
24.59	15	Agree
19.67	12	Neutral
3.28	2	Disagree
9.84	6	Strongly Disagree
Percent	Frequency	Label

CPR E 185 F, J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010


Item Analysis: Work to full potential

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
61	13	32	11	4	1	Frequency
100.00	21.31	52.46	18.03	6.56	1.64	Percent




Item Analysis: Outside class work

Total Valid	9+ hours	7-8 hours	5-6 hours	2-4 hours	0-1 hour	Label
61	10	10	25	14	2	Frequency
100.00	16.39	16.39	40.98	22.95	3.28	Percent




Item Analysis: Learned a lot

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label	,
61	31	21	6	_	2	Frequency	
100.00	50.82	34.43	9.84	1.64	3.28	Percent	



Response



CPR E 185 F,J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010

CPR E 185 F,J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010

Item Analysis: High standards

Frequency

Percent 0.00

Strongly disagree

Disagree Neutral Agree


Strongly Agree Total Valid

12 26 21 60

1.67 20.00 43.33 35.00 100.00


Item Analysis: Respectful


Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
61	38	18	ω	1	_	Frequency
 100 00	62.30	29.51	4.92	1.64	1.64	Percent



Item Analysis: Learned is important


Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label
61	34	19	6	0	2	Frequency
100.00	55.74	31.15	9.84	0.00	3.28	Percent






Item Analysis: Enhance my learning

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Label	
61	37	14	5	ω	2	Frequency	
100.00	60.66	22.95	8.20	4.92	3.28	Percent	





Item Analysis: Assesses learning

Strongly Disagree

Label

Frequency

Disagree Neutral Agree

Percent 0.00 4.92 18.03 37.70 39.34

Strongly Agree
Total Valid


11 23 24

		Per	rcent	
		2	\$ 8	
Strongly	Disagree-]
æ	Disagree-			۵
Response	Neutral-			Q20
8	Agree-			


Strongly Agree

Item Analysis: Lab?

100.00	60	Total Valid
48.33	29	Strongly Agree
20.00	12	Agree
23.33	14	Neutral
5.00	3	Disagree
3.33	2	Strongly disagree
Percent	Frequency	Label




Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Label
60	29	12	14	ω	2	Frequency
100.00	48.33	20.00	23.33	5.00	3.33	Percent



Item Analysis: Clear evaluation criteria

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Label	•
60	28	27	2	_	2	Frequency	
 100 00	46.67	45.00	3.33	1.67	3.33	Percent	



Item Analysis: Grading

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Label
59	37	15	5	1	_	Frequency
100.00	62.71	25.42	8.47	1.69	1.69	Percent

Percent 100 50 Strongly disagree Disagree 021 Response Neutra Agree Strongly Agree


Strongly disagree


Disagree


Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree








Percent

CPR E 185 F, J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010


Item Analysis: Assignments returned

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Label
60	7	14	13	9	17	Frequency
100.00	11.67	23.33	21.67	15.00	28.33	Percent




Item Analysis: Exams returned

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Label	
60	25	27	7	_	0	Frequency	
100.00	41.67	45.00	11.67	1.67	0.00	Percent	




Item Analysis: Feedback on work

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	5	8.33
Disagree	9	15.00
Neutral	1	18.33
Agree	26	43.33
Strongly Agree	9	15.00
Total Valid	60	100.00



Q28


Item Analysis: Text



CPR E 185 F, J-M STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION FALL 2010


Item Analysis: Overall instructor

Total Valid	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	רמאכז
60	41	14	2	2	_	ricquerry
100.00	68.33	23.33	3.33	3.33	1.67	I GLOCIST



Item Analysis: Overall course

Label	Frequency	Percer
Strongly disagree		1.6
Disagree	ω	5.0
Neutral	ω	5.00
Agree	18	30.00
Strongly Agree	35	58.3
Total Valid	60	100.00



Item Analysis: Instructor motivated

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Label	
5.7	32	12	10	1	2	Frequency	
	56.14	21.05	17.54	1.75	3.51	Percent	

