

CPR E 575 STOYTCHIEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012

Item Statistics:

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7
Sample Size	19	19	19	19	19	18	18
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.37	4.68	4.53	4.84	4.79	4.83	5.00
Variance	0.69	0.34	0.60	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.00
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.58	0.77	0.37	0.42	0.38	0.00
Standard Error	0.19	0.13	0.18	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14
Sample Size	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.58	4.89	4.84	4.74	4.53	4.16	4.21
Variance	0.48	0.10	0.14	0.32	0.49	0.81	0.84
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.32	0.37	0.56	0.70	0.90	0.92
Standard Error	0.16	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.16	0.21	0.21
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	1.00

	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q18	Q19	Q20	Q21
Sample Size	19	19	19	19	19	16	19
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.74	4.63	4.63	4.74	4.58	4.31	4.42
Variance	0.20	0.25	0.47	0.20	0.70	0.76	0.81
Standard Deviation	0.45	0.50	0.68	0.45	0.84	0.87	0.90
Standard Error	0.10	0.11	0.16	0.10	0.19	0.22	0.21
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	2.00

CPR E 575 STOYTCHIEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012


	Q22	Q23	Q24	Q25	Q26	Q27	Q28
Sample Size	14	18	17	18	15	18	19
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.07	4.00	3.71	3.33	3.60	3.44	4.37
Variance	0.84	1.88	1.22	1.65	1.26	1.56	0.69
Standard Deviation	0.92	1.37	1.10	1.28	1.12	1.25	0.83
Standard Error	0.25	0.32	0.27	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.19
Inter Qrt. Range	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00

	Q29	Q30	Q31
Sample Size	19	19	19
Number Missing	0	0	0
Mean	4.84	4.79	4.74
Variance	0.14	0.29	0.32
Standard Deviation	0.37	0.54	0.56
Standard Error	0.09	0.12	0.13
Inter Qrt. Range	0.00	0.00	0.00

CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012


Item Analysis: Expectations

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	1	5.26
Neutral	1	5.26
Agree	7	36.84
Strongly Agree	10	52.63
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: Concepts

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.26
Agree	4	21.05
Strongly Agree	14	73.68
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: Clarifies confusion

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	3	15.79
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	13	68.42
Total Valid	19	100.00



Item Analysis: Effective teaching methods


Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	16	84.21
Total Valid	19	100.00



CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012


Item Analysis: Encourages ?'s

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	4	21.05
Strongly Agree	15	78.95
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: Organized/Prepared

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	3	16.67
Strongly Agree	15	83.33
Total Valid	18	100.00




Item Analysis: Challenges thinking

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	0	0.00
Strongly Agree	18	100.00
Total Valid	18	100.00



Item Analysis: Availability

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	2	10.53
Agree	4	21.05
Strongly Agree	13	68.42
Total Valid	19	100.00




CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012

Item Analysis: Effective technology

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	2	10.53
Strongly Agree	17	89.47
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q9



Item Analysis: Improves learning

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	16	84.21
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q10



Item Analysis: Attendance

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.26
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	15	78.95
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q11



Item Analysis: Challenged

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	2	10.53
Agree	5	26.32
Strongly Agree	12	63.16
Total Valid	19	100.00

Q12




CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012

Item Analysis: Work to full potential

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	6	31.58
Agree	4	21.05
Strongly Agree	9	47.37
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q13



Item Analysis: Outside class work

Label	Frequency	Percent
0-1 hour	0	0.00
2-4 hours	1	5.26
5-6 hours	3	15.79
7-8 hours	6	31.58
9+ hours	9	47.37
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q14



Item Analysis: Learned a lot

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	5	26.32
Strongly Agree	14	73.68
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q15



Item Analysis: Increased interest

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	7	36.84
Strongly Agree	12	63.16
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q16



CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012


Item Analysis: Respectful

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	2	10.53
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	14	73.68
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: High standards

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	5	26.32
Agree	1	5.26
Strongly Agree	13	68.42
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: Learned is important

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	5	26.32
Strongly Agree	14	73.68
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: Lab?

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	5	35.71
Agree	3	21.43
Strongly Agree	6	42.86
Total Valid	14	100.00



Item Analysis: Enhance my learning

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	1	5.26
Neutral	1	5.26
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	14	73.68
Total Valid	19	100.00




Item Analysis: Clear evaluation criteria

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	11.11
Disagree	1	5.56
Neutral	1	5.56
Agree	5	27.78
Strongly Agree	9	50.00
Total Valid	18	100.00




Item Analysis: Assesses learning

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	4	25.00
Agree	3	18.75
Strongly Agree	9	56.25
Total Valid	16	100.00



Item Analysis: Grading

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.88
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	7	41.18
Agree	4	23.53
Strongly Agree	5	29.41
Total Valid	17	100.00




CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012

Item Analysis: Assignments returned

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	11.11
Disagree	2	11.11
Neutral	6	33.33
Agree	4	22.22
Strongly Agree	4	22.22
Total Valid	18	100.00


Q25



Item Analysis: Exams returned

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	6.67
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	7	46.67
Agree	3	20.00
Strongly Agree	4	26.67
Total Valid	15	100.00


Q26



Item Analysis: Feedback on work

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	11.11
Disagree	1	5.56
Neutral	6	33.33
Agree	5	27.78
Strongly Agree	4	22.22
Total Valid	18	100.00


Q27



Item Analysis: Text

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	4	21.05
Agree	4	21.05
Strongly Agree	11	57.89
Total Valid	19	100.00

Q28




CPR E 575 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2012

Item Analysis: Overall instructor

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	16	84.21
Total Valid	19	100.00


Q29



Item Analysis: Overall course

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.26
Agree	2	10.53
Strongly Agree	16	84.21
Total Valid	19	100.00

Q30



Item Analysis: Instructor motivated

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	0	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.26
Agree	3	15.79
Strongly Agree	15	78.95
Total Valid	19	100.00

Q31

