IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

To: Alexander Stoytchev
Personal and Confidential

HCI Student Ratings of Teaching Results for 2024 Spring

Alexander Stoytchev,

In the attachment you will find the student ratings of teaching results of the survey S2024-HCI-575-3 [Alexander Stoytchev (alexs)] (Lecture).

If you have questions or comments contact your HCI Student Ratings of Teaching Administrator or email student-ratings-of-teaching@iastate.edu

- ISU HCI Student Ratings of Teaching Administrator

Alexander Stoytchev

S2024-HCI-575-3 [Alexander Stoytchev (alexs)] (Lecture), AY23-ISU
No. of course participants = 19
No. of responses = 4
Response Rate: 21.05%

**IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY.**

Legend

Question text

Absolute Frequencies of answers — Std. Dev. — Mean — Median — Quantile
Relative Frequencies of answers

	25	0	50	0	25
	25%	0%	50%	0%	25%

Left pole — Right pole

Scale: 1 2 3 4 5

Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

### 1. Student Feedback for S2024-HCI-575-3 [Alexander Stoytchev (alexs)] (Lecture)

1.1) The instructor provided feedback on my academic work that was helpful to my learning.

strongly disagree — strongly agree

0	0	1	1	2
0%	0%	25%	25%	50%

n=4
av.=4.25
md=4.5
dev.=0.96

1.2) The instructor assisted me when I had questions regarding course content during the semester.

strongly disagree — strongly agree

0	0	1	1	2
0%	0%	25%	25%	50%

n=4
av.=4.25
md=4.5
dev.=0.96

1.3) The instructor established an environment that was conducive to learning.

strongly disagree — strongly agree

0	0	0	2	2
0%	0%	0%	50%	50%

n=4
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.58

1.4) What helped your learning the most in this course?

- Accessibility.

- Taking this course online, the lecture videos of going through the code after the math or in conjunction were very helpful.

- Thanks to Dr. Stoytchev because of being really nice and supportive.


1.5) What changes could have been made to enhance your learning in this course?

- I think if the assignments were group assignments it would be better because assignments were too much and took long time. Among research and other courses sometimes it was not possible to do it.

- NA

1.6) My overall rating of this course is:

very poor — very good

0	0	0	1	3
0%	0%	0%	25%	75%

n=4
av.=4.75
md=5
dev.=0.5

1.7) My overall rating of the instructor is:

very poor — very good

| 0	0	0	1	3
0%	0%	0%	25%	75%

n=4
av.=4.75
md=5
dev.=0.5

Histogram for scaled questions

The instructor provided feedback on my academic work that was helpful to my learning.

strongly disagree	strongly agree

av. = 4.25
dev. = 0.96
n = 4

The instructor assisted me when I had questions regarding course content during the semester.

strongly disagree	strongly agree

av. = 4.25
dev. = 0.96
n = 4

The instructor established an environment that was conducive to learning.

strongly disagree	strongly agree

av. = 4.5
dev. = 0.58
n = 4

My overall rating of this course is:

very poor	very good

av. = 4.75
dev. = 0.5
n = 4

My overall rating of the instructor is:

very poor	very good

av. = 4.75
dev. = 0.5
n = 4

Profile

Subunit: HCI
Name of the instructor: Alexander Stoytchev
Name of the course: S2024-HCI-575-3 [Alexander Stoytchev (alexs)] (Lecture)
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Student Feedback for S2024-HCI-575-3 [Alexander Stoytchev (alexs)] (Lecture)

1.1) The instructor provided feedback on my academic work that was helpful to my learning.	strongly disagree — strongly agree	n=4	av.=4.25	md=4.5	dev.=0.96
1.2) The instructor assisted me when I had questions regarding course content during the semester.	strongly disagree — strongly agree	n=4	av.=4.25	md=4.5	dev.=0.96
1.3) The instructor established an environment that was conducive to learning.	strongly disagree — strongly agree	n=4	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.58
1.6) My overall rating of this course is:	very poor — very good	n=4	av.=4.75	md=5	dev.=0.5
1.7) My overall rating of the instructor is:	very poor — very good	n=4	av.=4.75	md=5	dev.=0.5